UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/567,592	06/30/2006	Jeffrey D. Hillman	02-1037-1	1322
	7590 09/28/200 BOEHNEN HULBER	9 RT & BERGHOFF LLP	EXAM	IINER
300 S. WACKE 32ND FLOOR	ER DRIVE	WARE, DEBORAH K		
CHICAGO, IL	A DT LINIT DA DED		PAPER NUMBER	
			1651	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			09/28/2009	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
Interview Summary	10/567,592	HILLMAN, JEFFE Art Unit 1651 1651 Tement N/A. to if an agreement and it was sugarent and it was sugarent and it was sugarent and by the Examiner. agreed would render the condition of the SUBSTANCE Of the Condition of the SUBSTANCE OF THE	REY D.				
interview Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	DEBBIE K. WARE	1651					
All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):							
(1) <u>Deborah K. Ware</u> .	(3)						
(2) <u>Lisa M.W. Hillman</u> .	(4)						
Date of Interview: <u>24 September 2009</u> .							
Type: a)⊠ Telephonic b)⊡ Video Conference c)⊡ Personal [copy given to: 1)⊡ applicant 2)⊡ applicant's representative]							
Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes If Yes, brief description:	e)⊠ No.						
Claim(s) discussed: claims of record and as set forth in supplemental restriction requirement.							
Identification of prior art discussed: Not discussed.							
Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g) was not reached. h) N/A.							
Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Applicants wanted to discuss the restriction requirement and it was suggested that the claims be amended to include the strain in each of the composition groups and if Applicants agree to do that then the food claims can be examined together with each of the composition groups. Furthermore, based upon the suggested amendment the restriction requirement, at least in part, will be reconsidered by the Examiner. (A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.) THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO							
FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTER	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·						
/Deborah K. Ware/							